Knight, Mary - GER111b

1. This course was

| \# | Answer |  |  | Bar |  |  | Response | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Very Organized |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 55.56\% |
| 2 | Organized |  |  |  | - |  | 4 | 44.44 \% |
| 3 | Neither Organized Nor Disorganized |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 4 | Disorganized |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 5 | Very Disorganized |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 100.00\% |
| Min Value |  | Max Value | Average Value | Variance | Standard Deviation | Total Responses | Total Respondents |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1.44 | 0.28 | 0.53 | 9 |  |  |

 Responses Respondents
2. Assignments, test dates, and deadlines were clear, specific.

| \# | Answer | Bar | Response | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Always |  | 8 | 88.89\% |
| 2 | Most of the Time |  | 1 | 11.11\% |
| 3 | Sometimes |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 4 | Rarely |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 5 | Never |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  | Total |  | 9 | 100.00\% |


| Min <br> Value | Max <br> Value | Average <br> Value | Variance | Standard <br> Deviation | Total <br> Responses | Total <br> Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1.11 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 9 | 9 |

3. Instructor was prepared.

| \# | Answer | Bar | Response | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Always |  | 8 | 88.89\% |
| 2 | Most of the Time |  | 1 | 11.11\% |
| 3 | Sometimes |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 4 | Rarely |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 5 | Never |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  | Total |  | 9 | 100.00\% |


| Min <br> Value | Max <br> Value | Average <br> Value | Variance | Standard <br> Deviation | Total <br> Responses | Total <br> Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1.11 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 9 | 9 |

4. Instructor explained clearly.

| \# | Answer | Bar | Response | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Always |  | 6 | 66.67 \% |
| 2 | Most of the Time |  | 3 | 33.33\% |
| 3 | Sometimes |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 4 | Rarely |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 5 | Never |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  | Total |  | 9 | 100.00\% |


| Min <br> Value | Max <br> Value | Average <br> Value | Variance | Standard <br> Deviation | Total <br> Responses | Total <br> Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1.33 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 9 | 9 |

5. Instructor welcomed student analysis and interpretation.

| \# | Answer | Bar | Response | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Always |  | 7 | 77.78\% |
| 2 | Most of the Time |  | 2 | 22.22\% |
| 3 | Sometimes |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 4 | Rarely |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 5 | Never |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  | Total |  | 9 | 100.00\% |


| Min <br> Value | Max <br> Value | Average <br> Value | Variance | Standard <br> Deviation | Total <br> Responses | Total <br> Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1.22 | 0.19 | 0.44 | 9 | 9 |

6. Instructor was available for office hours \& help sessions.

| \# | Answer | Bar | Response | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Always |  | 5 | 62.50\% |
| 2 | Most of the Time |  | 3 | 37.50\% |
| 3 | Sometimes |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 4 | Rarely |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 5 | Never |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  | Total |  | 8 | 100.00\% |


| Min <br> Value | Max <br> Value | Average <br> Value | Variance | Standard <br> Deviation | Total <br> Responses | Total <br> Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1.38 | 0.27 | 0.52 | 8 | 8 |

7. Tests and graded work properly covered material for which student was responsible.

| \# | Answer | Bar | Response | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Always |  | 8 | 88.89\% |
| 2 | Most of the Time |  | 1 | 11.11\% |
| 3 | Sometimes |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 4 | Rarely |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 5 | Never |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  | Total |  | 9 | 100.00\% |


| Min <br> Value | Max <br> Value | Average <br> Value | Variance | Standard <br> Deviation | Total <br> Responses | Total <br> Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1.11 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 9 | 9 |

8. How would you rate the texts used?

| \# | Answer | Bar | Response | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Excellent |  | 4 | 44.44 \% |
| 2 | Very Good |  | 5 | 55.56\% |
| 3 | Good |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 4 | Fair |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 5 | Poor |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  | Total |  | 9 | 100.00\% |


| Min <br> Value | Max <br> Value | Average <br> Value | Variance | Standard <br> Deviation | Total <br> Responses | Total <br> Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1.56 | 0.28 | 0.53 | 9 | 9 |

## 9. How likely are you to recommend this course to others?

| \# | Answer | Bar | Response | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Extremely Likely |  | 5 | 55.56\% |
| 2 | Somewhat Likely |  | 3 | 33.33\% |
| 3 | Neutral |  | 1 | 11.11\% |
| 4 | Somewhat Unlikely |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 5 | Extremely Unlikely |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  | Total |  | 9 | 100.00\% |


| Min <br> Value | Max <br> Value | Average <br> Value | Variance | Standard <br> Deviation | Total <br> Responses | Total <br> Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 1.56 | 0.53 | 0.73 | 9 | 9 |

10. Rate instructor's general teaching ability.

| \# | Answer | Bar | Response | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Excellent |  | 7 | 77.78\% |
| 2 | Very Good |  | 2 | 22.22\% |
| 3 | Good |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 4 | Fair |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 5 | Poor |  | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  | Total |  | 9 | 100.00\% |


| Min <br> Value | Max <br> Value | Average <br> Value | Variance | Standard <br> Deviation | Total <br> Responses | Total <br> Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1.22 | 0.19 | 0.44 | 9 | 9 |

11. Did the professor create a climate that was respectful of all students?

| \# | Answer | Bar | Response |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes |  |  | $\%$ |
| 2 | No |  |  | 9 |


| Min <br> Value | Max <br> Value | Average <br> Value | Variance | Standard <br> Deviation | Total <br> Responses | Total <br> Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9 | 9 |

## If no, please elaborate.

## Text Entry

| Statistic | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Respondents | 0 |

1. Comment on the instructor's teaching effectiveness and classroom performance.

## Text Entry

thorough

Frau knight explained everything clearly and made sure everyone understood the lesson before moving on

All of our classes were productive in advancing our knowledge and felt better about my German after every class.

Always prepared and very knowledgeable.

Professor Knight engaged the students, made them eager to participate and learn the material. She was committed to the students and the class.

She was great

I really really liked her, she made me like languages again. I really wish I could take her next semester.
2. Did the instructor stimulate thinking? Did the course make a significant contribution to your education?

## Text Entry

Definitely stimulating !

Yes, Frau Knight stimulated thinking not just by teaching us German language but as well as German culture which broadened our world knowledge.
yes

Yes, I am very glad I took this class.

Yes, course required lots of critical thinking and will be very useful as I continue my education.

Yes and yes. Very much so. I'm actually considering a german minor now.
3. Was the instructor able to relate the material to other subjects and to real life situations?

## Text Entry

thought provoking examples were used!

Yes, relating between American and German culture and topics.
yes

Yes, she taught us a lot about German culture as well as vocab and grammar. The course seemed to cover more than just the language.

Yes.

Yes, all the time! We always had good conversations about her time in Germany.
4. Comment on the technology, books, songs, and other materials the instructor used to facilitate learning.

## Text Entry

great texts, great usage of media such as Youtube!

The jeopardy review sessions were very productive and the songs helped learned prepositions.
everything was great

She used a wide variety of materials (songs, poems, websites, ect.) which made the class interesting and dynamic.

They were paramount in the course.

The books and materials were always used. I didn't feel like I wasted my money.
5. How could the course be improved? What did you like most about the course? What did you like least?

## Text Entry

The use of more video media!

I felt like we covered a lot very quickly which made it challenging but enjoyed the course overall. I thought the projects were enjoyable.
n/a

I have no suggestions for improvement. Professor Knight taught this course wonderfully.

I have no suggestions.

I honestly liked everything. I guess the thing I liked least was the amount of tests, but even that I didn't mind because if you did poorly on one it didn't ruin your entire grade.
6. Comment on the usefulness of the department's extra-curricular activities.

## Text Entry

The extra curricular activities really gave insight into German culture and thought that there were ample opportunity to access these events as well.
quite

They added to the course, it was helpful to interact with students in other grades and in other levels.

Somewhat useful. I did not take part in many of them.

They were alwya fun, especially the movies. I just wish by my senior year I would be 21 so I could drink at Oktoberfest =(
III. Other comments?

## Text Entry

Mary Knight is seriously awesome. I look forward to taking another of her classes. I know I will.
n/a

Nein.

